

ST. ANTHONY PARK COMMUNITY COUNCIL
 BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
 JENNINGS COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTER (JCLC)
 2455 University Ave W | ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA
 THURSDAY, AUGUST 10, 2017 7PM -9PM

In attendance: Michael Russelle, Ian Luby, Melissa Williams, John Mark Lucas, Ray Bryan, Scott Simmons, Suyapa Miranda, Sarah Goodspeed

7:00	<p>Call to order, introductions -pull Zvago from consent agenda for discussion -note that Transportation had PW director letter moved through Executive Committee</p>	
7:05	<p>Consent agenda: 1. Approve agenda 2. Approve minutes Executive Committee: 10/2 Transportation Committee: 9/18, 10/2 Land Use Committee: 10/5 Equity Committee: 10/6 Environment Committee: 10/9</p> <p>Recommend signature of SAPCC as concurring party to Zvago MOA provided that: 1. Both signatory parties have signed, and 2. We have further chance to review tree preservation ordinance and retaining wall materials. -have been in discussion over past year with HUD and SHPO, SAPCC was consulting party with other groups since discussed and voted to support in 2015. MOA is now in final draft, has approval from SHPO. Followed up on LU questions about retaining wall, was changed from boulders to limestone rocks, prefer real stone but not structurally sound for historic building, requires concrete, look will fit better with existing limestone on campus. Tree preservation ordinance rarely used in St. Paul, applied here on 52 trees will be replaced with similar trees, most of the slopes will be taken away and regraded at lower slope. Speaking with Tim Nichols at OneTwoOne Development, are doing most possible to replace with larger trees, question has not been raised from city. Signatories are in agreement, it doesn't matter if we sign or not, but as concurring party who has worked with them shows good will. Some people still don't want at all but developers have done a deal of work with community, not likely to revisit tree or wall conversation, no leverage but relays concerns. Ray moves to accept recommendation from Land Use including stipulations, Scott second. Might be as good a deal as we can get out of this project, worth supporting, better than other developers who ran us over. All in favor, one abstention.</p>	<p>Consent vote All in support, no opposed, no abstentions. Zvago MOA, all in favor, one abstention.</p>
7:15	<p>LWV sharing mayoral forum info – revisit item if joined by guest, also wonder about Ranked Choice voter education</p>	
7:20	<p>Chair updates from committees</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transportation – two meetings since last board meeting. 1. Barb 	Update

	<p>Mundahl joined us for Como Ave reconstruction, businesses concerned about losing 2' of sidewalk to meet state standards, replacing trees as well. Aim to reorganize sidewalk space to allow more space for tables, compromise to decrease bike lane 1' through business corridor to retain extra foot of sidewalk. Want to see improvements on pedestrian side, pursue more bumpouts, how to improve signal timing, esp at Carter with long crosswalks, potentially all-red pedestrian crossing if no urgent need for traffic accommodation. Public meeting planned for November 8. Pausing phase 3 at west end (Eustis) for Health Partners development, allows better budget distribution. Complaints from residents about overnight parking on Raymond, city correcting signage mistake. Also fine-tuning area under bridge for bicycle use. 2. Mainly discussed 10-year plan, not a laundry list of things to do but guiding principles for future projects. Can include specific needs, as strategies to get to goal. ADA ramp at Seal/Territorial blocked, seeking sign to prevent. No update on Wabash/Myrtle, work began yesterday, now a one-way for Pelham bikeway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land Use – Update on project next to Hampden Co-op, vet clinic coming in shared multiple designs pending need for parking variance and possible setback variance. Pros and cons to both, no decision just preparing for next step. Co-op manager active in conversation. Weyerhaeuser update at Franklin/Emerald, another design meeting better than it was, pushing developer patience. Plan to present at Land Use next month. 10-year planning has held work sessions, deep conversations. Thought to look at specific sites at future meetings and discuss Council position and dreams. • Equity - recruiting new members, 10-year planning discussion, outline prepared, equity framework as well as specific strategies. Equity scorecard a tool not an assignment, intended to help committees ask the questions and reflect on strategies. TAP question to help residents keep affordable transit fares in face of fare hike. • Environment – spoke with MPCA about year of air monitoring near Community Garden, a couple standout pollutants, not level of concern, willing to come to public meeting in new year to discuss results, not enough to draw statistical trends, and discuss GIS work about brownfields and other pollution sources in neighborhood. 10-year planning proceeding. 	
7:50	<p>10-year planning update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • St. Paul plan guide, steering committee share some language examples for committees to have some consistency going into first draft. Planning to send draft to City for December to get feedback to make sure we're on track with big picture items, make sure work is relevant to plans. Community forums mid Nov, one each in North and South, one weekday evening, one weekend day meeting. JOTP or SPNN as backup in South, Luther in North. Plan week of 12th, note that 17/18th are school conferences may affect attendance. Summary of process and community feedback, previous 10-year planning results (Hampden park, etc.) Each committee plan 5 minute overview, interactive feedback activities, newsprint, maps, break out groups to respond to what they like, what's missing, etc. Equity goals to increase voices and partners throughout planning process. Also how to call out equity language in prelude, equity 	

	<p>both its own chapter esp food access and diversity (“community building” in Mac Groveland example) and integrated through issue areas. Some elements may not adopt in comp plan but still in our own local plan and goals, still came to fruition even though weren’t included in St. Paul plan. Took 3 years to even adopt, last time wrangled over industrial zoning. More important that other agencies see our priorities and sign on to community vision. We have ability to be futuristic and push boundaries, be progressive as we want. Event kid friendly to some extent, maybe not able to provide childcare but welcome to join and have separate space, could ask a few parents to come help supervise, ask attendees to RSVP if bringing kids, potentially stipend from SAPF grant. Behind timeline on business/org survey, but draft and plan in place to incorporate feedback into revision. Very limited business contacts, seek out more connections and partners to pass on, pass out postcards, etc. Repeat in March-ish, share history, music, for final draft.</p>	
8:03	<p>Bylaws and elections</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small typos, corrected. Thank Michael, John Mark, staff for drafting. Do we need full process to vote in committee members or just automatic with attendance. What is better process, considering membership, for committee eligibility. Board appointment through consent agenda, not just show up twice and vote. ADU issue had lots of attendees who came and voted, then left. Want to keep better track of committee members, currently very informal, know skills, develop interests, see leadership growth, many goals that seem out of reach may be right in front of us. May be separate issue/beyond eligibility, hold for P&P, Board still has final oversight. Keep bylaws basic, P&P details. Another question about removal, red flags, etc. Board or Executive Committee serves as check. Repeat removal language for committee members to reflect board directors, limit removal discussion to closed sessions at discretion. Concern of loss of institutional memory through small Exec Committee actions, notify by email, note in records. Any inclusion of staff/ED at bylaws level, mostly specific to Board proceedings and P&P will cover staffing issues. May also clarify fiscal year, or also refer to P&P if expect may need to be more flexible if shifting. Not beholden to follow City financial cycle, January or July cutoff, look into question what do other councils do. Specify who is signatory, not always treasurer officer. Also list 2 town meetings per year, not always met but still goal. Shift business to organization delegates, make consistent, clarify self-employed/sole proprietor eligible, not all have LLC, DBA, goal to represent different ways of being in the neighborhood in 25.1.a. Shift students to leadership delegate, correct grammar. Article 29, better option for tiebreak, better for decider or executive vote or randomized sufficient. Clarify board co-chairs make up executive committee, and board member co-chairs of each committee serve on executive committee for direct representation before board meetings, potentially very large. Share clean edits with Charlie and Betsy who are absent tonight, vote next month and publish notice that changes will be voted at Nov board meeting, included on agenda, available if asked, need 2/3 vote to amend. 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elections – candidates due Monday, published Tuesday. Voting October 23-Nov 6. Volunteers for in-person voting, is it worth our time? Don't want to take away option, newsletter going out want to have dates to share early. Co-op, Speedy, better at library if inclement weather? Verify addresses. Consistent process. Can leave ballots and box all day not necessarily 2-hour volunteer shift. • Library on 28th – Michael. Wilder market 1st 3-4 – Scott. Nov 4th Co-op – Melissa. More days with committee volunteers. Speedy? • Fundraising, GTM, 10-year events, other newsletters going out don't want to oversaturate. SAPF, library, others share voting. 	
8:40	<p>Staff updates</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro Transit fares increasing, particularly on Metro Mobility, Transit Affordability Program not enrolling near our neighborhood. SAPCC looking to serve as TAP enrollment site, Metro Transit not familiar with councils to utilize our networks, Council will go through training to be able to verify income (EBT, other program enrollment). Council also wants to help enroll for EBT, good link. John Mark wanted to host event for Metro Transit to do processing, they don't do that directly either. Can invite other org to verify, Mpls housing authority is doing it, not St Paul, seems like a lot of staff time. Legal questions for verifying income, does this put Council at risk? Is Council being supported financially to enroll community members? We're going to do their job for them, in the meantime awful program, they aren't doing their job, our community is not served, can we get grant to support effort? Either completely public or designating district to do, ie monthly at Seal. Look into other options before committing, advocate for them to step up first, if we are not built for this program. In future anticipate working to enroll EBT folks with Brightside, this may be good practice and viable measurable way to impact community, want to find funding not likely that Metro Transit will bother to improve, legislature might cut program. Big citywide problem, not just D12, this doesn't help other districts, coordinate with other councils to pull resources, awareness. Want better alternative to nothing, if we're not ready to do this what can we do. Speak with TLC, Alliance, other neighbors, what else can be done. • Financials – expecting City reimbursement next month, fundraising letter November, COPP funds before years end. Have requested donation from Sunrise, also open to extend line of credit. Covers our expenses through year end. Projected deficit for year end hard to tell without reimbursements. Looking ahead to next year both significant cuts and increase operational grants. 	
9:30	Adjourn	Adjourn